

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 7715 10/607,696 Gerhard Beckmann 107044-0036 06/27/2003 12/06/2005 **EXAMINER** 24267 7590 CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP CHUO, TONY SHENG HSIANG 88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER BOSTON, MA 02210 1746

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/607,6	96	BECKMANN ET AL.		
		Examine		Art Unit		
		Tony Chu	0	1746		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicater Reply	tion appears on th	cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 32 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TE 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. Try period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNITION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of the BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on .	•			
2a) □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
_	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
_	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the E	yaminer			•	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	ee the attached detailed Office action to	or a list of the cert	nea copies noi	receivea.		
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	•	· ·	(s)/Mail Date	'A_152\	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT( r No(s)/Mail Date	DISB(08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/607,696

**Art Unit: 1746** 

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-10, drawn to a fluid controlling assembly with shutters, classified in class 429, subclass 22.

Within Invention I, there are two species:

- i) assembly with frames
- ii) assembly with apertures
- II. Claims 11-17, drawn to a fluid controlling assembly with water control element, classified in class 429, subclass 39.

Within Invention II, there are two species:

- i) assembly with compressible material
- ii) assembly with expandable material
- III. Claims 18-21, drawn to a fluid controlling assembly with thin films, classified in class 429, subclass 34.

Within Invention III, there are two species:

- i) assembly with thin films
- ii) assembly with rods
- IV. Claims 22 and 23, drawn to a direct oxidation fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 30.
- V. Claims 24-26, drawn to a method of operating the fluid controlling assembly, classified in class 429, subclass 13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions IV and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the direct oxidation fuel cell does not require the particulars of the fluid controlling assembly. The subcombination has separate utility such as using the fluid controlling assembly in a different type of fuel cell.

Inventions V and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus. For example, the process can be used by either the assembly with shutters or by the assembly with the thin films.

Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are the assembly with shutters, the assembly with the water control element, and the assembly with the thin film of liquid impermeable, gas permeable material.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,696

**Art Unit: 1746** 

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, III, IV, or V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If any of inventions I-III are elected, the applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 10/607,696

Art Unit: 1746

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Chuo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*\*\* TC 11/30/05

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5